

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1333 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.

SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 887-4000

FAX (202) 887-4288

AUG 22 1997

PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 887-4011

August 22, 1997

BY HAND DELIVERY

AUSTIN

DALLAS

HOUSTON

LONDON LOS ANGELES

MOSCOW

NEW YORK

PHILADELPHIA SAN ANTONIO

BRUSSELS

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

Supplemental Comments on Digital Television

MM Docket No. 87-268

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of HMI Broadcasting Corporation are an original and four (4) copies of Supplemental Comments on the <u>Fifth Report and Order</u> and the <u>Sixth Report and Order</u> adopted by the Federal Communications Commission, in the above-referenced rulemaking proceeding. Please direct any questions concerning this matter to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Tom W. Davidson, P.C.

Enclosures

No. of Copies and Ot4

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RECEIVED Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG 2 2 1997

In the Matter of)))	PEDERAL COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon the Existing Television Broadcast Service)) MM Docket No. 8)	7-268

To: The Commission

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE FIFTH REPORT AND ORDER AND SIXTH REPORT AND ORDER

HMI Broadcasting Corporation and its wholly owned broadcasting subsidiaries ("Heritage"), by its attorneys, hereby submits these Supplemental Comments in the Fifth Report & Order and Sixth Report & Order adopted by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC" or "Commission") in the above-captioned rulemaking proceeding. These comments are submitted in accordance with the Commission's Order, DA-1377, released on July 2, 1997, which permits the filing of supplemental comments in this proceeding.

¹ Through its subsidiaries, Heritage owns and operates the following television stations: Station WEAR-TV, Pensacola, Florida; WPTZ(TV), North Pole, New York; Station WCHS-TV, Charleston, West Virginia; Station WNNE-TV, Hartford, Vermont; and Station KOKH-TV, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Heritage, through wholly owned subsidiaries, also has entered into time brokerage agreements with Champlain Valley Telecasting, Inc., permittee of Station WFF(TV), Channel 44, Burlington, Vermont and Television Fit for Life, Inc., licensee of Station WFGX(TV), Channel 35, Fort Walton Beach, Florida. Pursuant to prior FCC consent, control of Heritage is held by William G. Evans, an independent trustee.

² Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon The Existing Television Broadcast Service, <u>Fifth Report & Order</u>, MM Docket No. 87-268, FCC 97-115 (released April 21, 1997); and Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon The Existing Television Broadcasting Service, <u>Sixth Report & Order</u>, MM Docket No. 87-268, FCC 97-115 (released April 21, 1997)("Sixth Report & Order").

I. INTRODUCTION

The <u>Sixth Report & Order</u> instructed television stations to use the methodology described in OET Bulletin No. 69 to calculate and evaluate television service coverage and interference levels between stations under the Commission's recently adopted digital television ("DTV") rules. However, since the bulletin was not released until July 2, 1997, several weeks after the filing deadline for petitions for reconsideration, television stations did not have the information necessary to fully evaluate or analyze service coverage and potential interference problems that would arise from the digital channel assignments listed in the <u>Sixth Report & Order</u>'s Table of Allotments.

Due to the delayed release of this bulletin, the Commission gave parties requesting reconsideration of individual DTV channel allotments an additional 45 days in which to submit supplemental information relating to their petitions.³ After evaluating its channel allotments under the methodology outlined in OET Bulletin No. 69, Heritage respectfully requests that the Commission change the channel allotment for WPTZ(TV), North Pole, New York from DTV channel 14 to DTV channel 19, and the channel allotment for WCHS-TV, Charleston, West Virginia from DTV channel 55 to DTV channel 41.

II. DISCUSSION

A. Station WPTZ(TV)

Television station WPTZ(TV) currently operates on channel 5 in North Pole, New York. The <u>Sixth Report & Order</u> allocates DTV channel 14 to Station WPTZ(TV). After evaluating test data of DTV signal behavior in circuits typically found in UHF broadcast final

³ Heritage Media Corporation, the parent of Heritage prior to August 22, 1997, filed comments in this rulemaking docket on July 18, 1997, on behalf of Stations WPTZ(TV) and WCHS-TV. Since Heritage had not had an opportunity to evaluate its DTV channel under the OET methodology at that time, it stated that it would file such comments at a later time.

amplifiers, Heritage has serious questions about the ability of Station WPTZ(TV) to transmit and maintain a DTV signal on channel 14 within the proposed emission mask.⁴

Frequencies adjacent to channel 14 are to be shared with land mobile facilities. Any interference to such facilities that is caused (or alleged to be caused) by Station WPTZ(TV) could force the station to operate at reduced power, the the resulting level of programming available to the public. For example, the television station assigned to NTSC channel 14 in the Washington metropolitan area has been forced to operate at severely reduced power due to allegations of interference with adjacent public safely land mobile frequencies. Based on an engineering analysis conducted by Heritage, there is a real possibility that Station WPTZ(TV)'s operation on DTV channel 14 at fully authorized power levels could interfere with land mobile operations in this same manner.

The <u>Sixth Report & Order</u> allocated DTV channels using a "service replication/maximization" concept which was designed to permit "all existing broadcasters to provide DTV service to a geographic area that is comparable to their existing NTSC service area." A DTV allocation study for Station WPTZ(TV) which used the methodology outlined in the OET Bulletin establishes that Station WPTZ(TV) could better replicate its

 $^{^4}$ See Engineering Statement of Cohen, Dippell and Everist, P.C., attached hereto as Exhibit A.

⁵ Paragraph 164 of the <u>Sixth Report & Order</u> states that "it will be the initial responsibility of the DTV licensee to protect against or eliminate harmful interference to land mobile services that have commenced operations and that are operating in accordance with our rules at the time the DTV licensee goes on the air."

⁶ See Exhibit A.

⁷ Sixth Report & Order at ¶ 12.

NTSC service area if it received a DTV allocation on channel 19.8 This allocation would replicate the service area of Station WPTZ(TV) to a greater extent than the current channel 14 DTV allocation and would avoid potential interference with adjacent land mobile operations. The channel switch would not create impermissible interference to nearby television stations. Thus, the instant proposal would satisfy the Commission's stated goals by maximizing the Station's service area thereby ensuring continued service to Station WPTZ(TV)'s established viewing audience.

B. Station WCHS-TV

Television Station WCHS-TV currently operates on channel 8 in Charleston, West Virginia. The Sixth Report & Order allocates DTV channel 55 to Station WCHS-TV. Heritage requests that the Commission allocate DTV channel 41 to Station WCHS-TV instead of DTV channel 55.

In the <u>Sixth Report & Order</u>, the Commission designated as "core spectrum" the most suitable frequencies for DTV service, <u>i.e.</u>, channels 2-51. Although the Commission sought to assign only DTV channels within the core spectrum. it was unable to assign all stations DTV channels within this portion of the spectrum. Station WCHS-TV was one of the stations which received a channel assignment outside the core spectrum. As a result, Station WCHS-TV will be required to move its DTV operations from channel 55 to a channel within the core spectrum when such a channel becomes available at a later date.

All television stations are required to move their operations onto the DTV channel assigned by the FCC at the time they begin to provide DTV service. Station WCHS-TV,

⁸ See Exhibit A.

⁹ Sixth Report & Order at ¶ 83.

however, is required to bear the additional financial and logistical burden of moving a second time. Thus, Station WCHS-TV's allotment on channel 55 serves as a logistical handicap for the station and may also place Station WCHS-TV at a competitive disadvantage. Based on an engineering analysis conducted by Heritage, Station WCHS-TV can operate on DTV channel 41 in compliance with all applicable FCC technical rules and in a manner which replicates its existing NTSC service area. Since it appears that a suitable DTV channel is available within the core spectrum, ¹⁰ Heritage requests that the Commission change the channel allotment for Station WCHS-TV from channel 55 to channel 41.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Heritage respectfully requests that the Commission change the DTV allotment for Station WPTZ(TV) from channel 14 to 19, and the DTV allotment for Station WCHS-TV from channel 55 to 41.

Respectfully submitted,

HMI BROADCASTING CORPORATION

w. Varde, 1.C

By: Tom W. Davidson, P.C.

Paige S. Anderson, Esq.

Its Attorneys

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER &

FELD, L.L.P.

1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 887-4000

Date: August 22, 1997

¹⁰ <u>See</u> excerpt from Alternative DTV Channels For The Continental United States, as prepared by the National Association of Broadcasters, attached hereto as Exhibit B.

444666

EXHIBIT A

ENGINEERING STATEMENT
ON BEHALF OF
HMI BROADCASTING CORPORATION
CONCERNING SUPPLEMENT
TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
MM DOCKET NO. 87-268

AUGUST 1997

COHEN, DIPPELL AND EVERIST, P.C.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
RADIO AND TELEVISION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

City of Washington)
District of Columbia) ss)
Donald G. Everist, b	eing duly sworn upon his oath, deposes and states that:
District of Columbia, and is	ctrical engineer, a Registered Professional Engineer in the s President of Cohen, Dippell and Everist, P.C., Consulting sion, with offices at 1300 L Street, N.W., Suite 1100,
That his qualificatio Commission;	ns are a matter of record in the Federal Communications
That the attached supervision and direction a	engineering report was prepared by him or under his and
as are stated to be on info to be true.	d herein are true of his own knowledge, except such facts rmation and belief, and as to such facts he believes them Donald G. Everist District of Columbia Professional Engineer Registration No. 5714
Subscribed and sworn to b	pefore me this day of, 1997.
	My Commission Expires: 222/74

This engineering statement has been prepared on behalf of HMI Broadcasting Corporation and provides a further review of the DTV allocation situation for WPTZ-TV, North Pole, New York. This further assessment is based upon examination of OET Bulletin No. 69 released July 2, 1997.

Television station WPTZ-TV has been assigned DTV Channel 14 in MM Docket 87-268. Examination of test data¹ of DTV signal behavior in circuits typically found in UHF broadcast final amplifiers has been made. That data raises serious questions about the ability to transmit and maintain a DTV signal within the proposed emission mask.

There is a possibility that the assigned DTV Channel 14 operation could cause interference to land-mobile facilities which would result in a reduction of ERP for Channel 14 based on prior commission practice.

A DTV allocation study has been performed and DTV Channel 19 has been found which provides WPTZ-TV a better opportunity to replicate its existing NTSC service area. Attached hereto is a tabulation of allocations for the assigned DTV channel (14) and the requested DTV Channel 19.

¹Transmitter Considerations for ATV, Harris Corp. Broadcast Division, Robert J. Plonka, November 22, 1996.

TABLE I PROPOSED CHANNEL 19 DTV TO NTSC ALLOCATION STUDY AUGUST 1997

				Distance	
<u>Chan</u>	nel	<u>Call</u>	City/State	<u>Actual</u> km	Required km
N	19	WPTZ-DTV	North Pole, NY		
N-5	14	None wi	thin 120 km		<24.1,>80.5
N-4	15	None wi	thin 120 km		<24.1,>80.5
N-3	16	None wi	ithin 120 km		<24.1,>80.5
N-2	17	CIVM-TV	Montreal, QU	103.7	< 24.1, > 80.5
N-1	18	WNPI-TV	Norwood, NY	94.5	<9.7,>88.5
N	19	WCDC-TV	Adams, MA	219.0	217.3
N + 1	20	None wi	thin 120 km		<9.7,>88.5
N+2	21	None wi	thin 120 km		< 24.1, > 80.5
N+3	22	WVNY	Burlington, VT	68.4	96.6
N+4	23	None wi	thin 120 km		< 24.1, > 80.5
N + 7	26	None wi	thin 120 km		<24.1,>80.5
N+8	27	None wi	thin 120 km		< 24.1, > 80.5

TABLE II DTV TO NTSC FCC CHANNEL 14 ALLOCATION STUDY AUGUST 1997

				Dis	tance
Char	<u>inel</u>	Call	City/State	<u>Actual</u> km	<u>Required</u> km
N	14	WPTZ-DTV	North Pole,NY		
N	14	None wi	ithin 270 km	-	223.7
N + 1	15	None w	ithin 120 km		<9.7,>88.5
N + 2	16	None wi	ithin 120 km		<24.1,>96.6
N+3	17	CIVM-TV	Montreal, QU	103.7	<24.1,>80.5
N + 4	18	WNPI-TV	Norwood, NY	94.9	<24.1,>96.6
N + 7	21	None wi	ithin 120 km		<24.1,>96.6
N+8	22	WVNY	Burlington, VT	68.4	<24.1,>96.6

TABLE III DTV TO DTV ALLOCATION STUDIES AUGUST 1997

		Dis	tance
Channel	Call City/State	<u>Actual</u> km	<u>Required</u> km
N 19	WPTZ-DTV North Pole, NY	~-	
N-1	None within 120 km		<32.2,>88.5
N	None within 270 km		223.7
N + 1	None within 120 km		<32.2,>88.5
		Distance	
		Dis	tance
<u>Channel</u>	Call City/State	<u>Dis</u> <u>Actual</u> km	tance Required km
<u>Channel</u> N 14	Call City/State WPTZ-DTV North Pole, NY	<u>Actual</u>	Required
		<u>Actual</u> km	Required
N 14	WPTZ-DTV North Pole, NY	<u>Actual</u> km	Required



Station at III MILIMANUS 63 64 65 67 69

Bristing channel 36 ATV channel 35

STATEMENT OF STATEMENTS

Existing themsel SE MEV educated 46

Existing chapsel 49 ATV chapel 48

Station at: W MOUS 61 64 65 67 69

#Existing element % AFF channel 19
3 12 14 15 36 36 26 27 28 29 30 31 29 40 41 45 46 47 40 49 50 51 52 54
35 54 57 58 30 68 42 42 53 64 45 65 67 60 69

Platin wit 15 supply - Relating endanged 14 MV where 13 15 18 30 30 36 36 44 48 49 53 54 52 42 42 44 45 66 67 48 49

Station ets 40 10000 Existing channel 7 ATV channel 46 4 22 27 35 35 35 43 44 36 32 57 50 60 41 42 43 04 05 65 67 67 69

Station at M. Alter Transport of the Station of the

Station wir Mi Shippi State St

Station str 12 Virginians Sulating chount 35 MY channel 6 5 10 57 31 55 35 45 44 48 49 50 52 51 54 57 56 60 62 62 63 64 65 65 67 69

Station at: W MINESTED Bristing channel 6 MFV chancel 46 & 36 25 25 25 25 35 44 57 62 63 64 69 66 67 69

Studios at: NY SECURITIES - Maisting channel 40 ASY channel 24 2 25 25 33 34 25 44 37 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 97

Frution et: WY CHARLESTER Britaring channel # MY channel 55 7 16 31 36 41 47 51 36 56 57 CD 66 65 66 69

Simbles and WV (CONTROLSON Substing oblined 11 MFV channel 19 10 16 18 21 36 41 47 52 32 37 63 64 69 69 69

Station sky WY CHARGESTEN Bristing channel \$9 MEV channel 39 16 31 36 41 47 57 63 64 65 65 69 69

Station at: WY CENSISSING Section channel 46 AFY channel 29 3 10 17 21 31 41 45 47 35 60 61 62 63 66 65 66 67 68 69

Station at: WY GROSSYRS: Asisting channel 9 ATV channel 53 2 12 16 28 31 38 35 43 44 57 62 63 64 65 67 67

STATE OF THE STATE

Station at: WY SERVINGTON Stricting channel 13 ATV channel 54 5 4 24 56 47 51 52 57 50 63 66 66 66 69 69

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Annamarie Valenti, an employee of Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P., certify that a copy of the foregoing Supplemental Comments to Petition for Reconsideration of the Fifth Report and Order and Sixth Report and Order was sent via First Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on this 22nd day of August, 1997 to the following parties:

William R. Richardson, Jr., Esq. Michael A. McKenzie, Esq. Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Richard R. Zaragoza, Esq. Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza, L.L.P. 2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20006-1851

Todd D. Gray, Esq.
Margaret L. Miller, Esq.
Candace W. Clay, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802

Colby M. May, Esq. 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Suite 609 Washington, D.C. 20007

John R. Wilner, Esq. Edward S. O'Neill, Esq. Bryan Cave LLP 700 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Annamarie Valenti